



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
2023 RULE**

**OMB Control Number: 0710-0024  
Expiration Date: 09/30/2023**

**AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE**

The public reporting burden for this collection of information, 0710-0024, is estimated to average 4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or burden reduction suggestions to the Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, at [whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil](mailto:whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

**I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): [5/8/2023](#)

ORM Project Name: [NWP-2022-403](#)

ORM Identification Number: [11944051](#)

- ☐ Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites or other review areas, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different jurisdictional determination (JD) form(s).

Associated JD Names and Numbers: [N/A](#)

Review Area Location: State/Territory: [Oregon](#) City: [Medford](#)

County/Parish/Borough: [Jackson](#)

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude: [42.30142933°N](#), Longitude: [-122.8724597°W](#)

Limits of review area: [See Attached Map](#)

**II. SUMMARY<sup>2</sup>**

Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list **MUST** be selected. Complete the corresponding tables in Section III., summarize data sources in Section IV., and attach completed Appendices A and/or B when specified.

- ☐ The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters such as streams, rivers, wetlands, lakes, ponds, tidal waters, ditches, and the like in the entire review area). Rationale: [Provide Rationale for Dry Land Determination](#)

- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete the table in Section III.A.).

- ☒ There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section III.B. and complete and attach appendices as appropriate).

- ☒ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or features were assessed within the review area and determined to be non-jurisdictional (complete appropriate tables in Section III.C. and complete and attach appendices as appropriate).

<sup>1</sup> The final rule "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States'" (2023 Rule) was published in the *Federal Register* on 18 January 2023 and the effective date is 20 March 2023. See <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/01/18/2022-28595/revised-definition-of-waters-of-the-united-states>.

<sup>2</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) or descriptions of the review area and any jurisdictional waters are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
2023 RULE**

**III. FINDINGS IN THE REVIEW AREA**

**A. Jurisdictional under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899<sup>3</sup> (Section 10)<sup>4</sup>**

Section 10 Waters			
Section 10 water name	Section 10 size in review area		Type of Section 10 water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A.
Rationale for determination: N/A			

**B. Jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act**

Paragraph (a)(1) waters: <sup>5</sup> Waters which are: (i) Currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (Traditional Navigable Waters); (ii) The territorial seas; or (iii) Interstate waters, including interstate wetlands			
(a)(1) water name	(a)(1) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(1) water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A.
Rationale for determination: N/A			

Paragraph (a)(2) waters: Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the United States under this definition, other than impoundments of waters identified under paragraph (a)(5)			
(a)(2) water name	(a)(2) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(2) water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A.
Rationale for determination: N/A			

<sup>3</sup> If the navigable water of the United States is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and not included on the district's list of Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) Section 10 navigable waters of the United States list do NOT use this form to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

<sup>4</sup> USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this AJD form, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

<sup>5</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of RHA is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
2023 RULE**

**Paragraph (a)(3) waters:** Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2): (i) That are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water; or (ii) That either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1)

(a)(3) water name	(a)(3) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(3) water
Irrigation Canal	2,725	Linear feet	(a)(3)(i) tributary that meets the relatively permanent standard

Rationale for determination: The irrigation canal identified within the review area is a tributary to Crooked Creek that carries a relatively permanent flow and does not solely drain uplands. This canal is part of the Medford Irrigation District. Historical imagery dates the construction of the canal back to at least the late 1970's. Furthermore, aerial imagery depicts surface flow within the canal predominantly during the growing season from May to September. Due to the connectivity of this aquatic feature with downstream tributaries, it has been determined that this unnamed irrigation canal satisfies the definition of an (a)(3)(i) tributary that meets the relatively permanent standard.

**Paragraph (a)(4) waters:** Wetlands adjacent to the following waters: (i) Waters identified in paragraph (a)(1); or (ii) Relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3)(i) and with a continuous surface connection to those waters; or (iii) Waters identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (3) when the wetlands either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1)

(a)(4) water name	(a)(4) size in review area		Adjacency criteria
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Type of paragraph (a)(4) water	N/A		

Rationale for determination: N/A

**Paragraph (a)(5) waters:** Intrastate lakes and ponds, streams, or wetlands not identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4): (i) That are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water with a continuous surface connection to the waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(3)(i); or (ii) That either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1).<sup>6</sup>

(a)(5) water name	(a)(5) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(5) water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Rationale for determination: N/A

<sup>6</sup> In implementing the significant nexus standard, the agencies generally intend to analyze waters under paragraph (a)(5) individually to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of a paragraph (a)(1) water.



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
2023 RULE**

**C. Waters or features that are not jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act**

**Waters analyzed under paragraph (a)(3)(ii), (a)(4)(iii), or (a)(5)(ii) and determined non-jurisdictional:** Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2); and/or wetlands adjacent to waters identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (3); and/or intrastate lakes and ponds, streams, or wetlands not identified as (a)(1) through (4) waters; that either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, do not significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1).

Water name	Water size in review area	Type of water for which significant nexus was not met:
------------	---------------------------	--

N/A	N/A	N/A
-----	-----	-----

Rationale for determination: N/A

**(b)(1) – (b)(8) Excluded Features<sup>7</sup>**

Excluded feature name	Excluded feature size in review area	Exclusion <sup>8</sup>
-----------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------

Wetland 1	0.58	Acre(s)
-----------	------	---------

(b)(5) Artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.

Rationale for determination: Wetland 1 is characterized as an irrigation pond adjacent to an irrigation canal. Hydrology is sustained predominantly by overland sheet flow. No other inlets or outlets exist at the wetland. As a result, Wetland 1 satisfies the definition of a (b)(5) excluded feature.

Wetland 2	0.01	Acre(s)
-----------	------	---------

(b)(3) Ditches (including roadside ditches) excavated wholly in and draining only dry land and that do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water

Rationale for determination: Wetland 2 is characterized as a wetland in a roadside ditch parallel to Kings Highway. The requestor stated the ditch was created in uplands and is not connected or contiguous with wetlands and does not contain food or game fish. The Corps concurs with the requestors assessment. As a result, Wetland 2 satisfies the definition of a (b)(3) excluded feature.

Wetland 3	0.05	Acre(s)
-----------	------	---------

(b)(4) Artificially irrigated areas which would revert to dry land if the irrigation ceased

Rationale for determination: Wetland 3 is characterized as a wetland that sustains hydrology from a leaking irrigation headgate located on-site along the study area's southern boundary. The Corps has determined that if the irrigation headgate supplying hydrology to the wetland were to cease, the wetland would no longer exist and would revert to upland. As a result, Wetland 3 satisfies the definition of a (b)(4) excluded feature.

<sup>7</sup> Transient features on the landscape that are difficult to document due to their non-permanent nature, such as rills and gullies, may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a USACE district to do so. USACE districts may, in case-by-case instances, elect to document any such feature on a case-by-case basis, such as when the feature is relevant to analysis of the jurisdictional status of another water.

<sup>8</sup> Note the full text of the exclusions for (b)(1)-(6) and (b)(8) are included in the dropdown list, while the text for the (b)(7) exclusion is truncated due to space limitations. The full text of the (b)(7) exclusion is as follows: (b)(7) Waterfilled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
2023 RULE**

**IV. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**A. Paragraph (a)(1) water that is outside the review area:**

- a. Provide the name of the paragraph (a)(1) water: [N/A or Name of \(a\)\(1\) Water.](#)
- b. Type of paragraph (a)(1) water: [N/A.](#)
- c. Provide the rationale for jurisdiction of the paragraph (a)(1) water: [N/A or Provide Additional Discussion as Appropriate.](#)

**B. Significant nexus analyses**

- ☐ Appendix A is attached and includes the significant nexus analysis for any waters in the review area that were evaluated under paragraph (a)(3)(ii) and/or paragraph (a)(4)(iii).
- ☐ Appendix B is attached and includes the significant nexus analyses for any waters in the review area that were evaluated under paragraph (a)(5)(ii).
- ☒ There are no waters in the review area that require evaluation under the significant nexus standard. Therefore, neither Appendix A nor Appendix B are included with this form

**C. Data, models, and other relevant methods** Select/enter all resources that were used to support this determination and include data/maps and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- ☒ Aquatic resources delineation submitted by, or on behalf of, the requestor: [Jurisdictional Wetland Delineation Report for Sparrow Way, composed by Schott and Associates, Inc., dated August 2022.](#)  
The aquatic resources delineation submitted by or on behalf of the requestor is sufficient for purposes of this AJD [Yes](#)  
Rationale: [N/A](#)
- ☐ Aquatic resources delineation prepared by the USACE: [Title\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)
- ☐ Wetland field data sheets prepared by the USACE: [Title\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)
- ☐ OHWM data sheets prepared by the USACE: [Title\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)
- ☐ USACE site visit: Date(s) of site visit(s): [Date\(s\) of Site Visit\(s\), Title\(s\) and Date\(s\) of Site Visit Summary Document\(s\)](#)
- ☐ Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs) addressing the same (or portions of the same) review area: [ORM Number\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)
- ☒ Photographs: [Schott and Associates, 10 May 2023.](#)
- ☒ Aerial Imagery: [Google Earth Pro, 10 July 2022, 19 June 2022, 25 October 2020, 8 September 2020, 7 July 2018, 27 May 2016.](#)
- ☒ LiDAR: [Corps National Regulatory Viewer, 4 May 2023.](#)
- ☐ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [Title\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)
- ☐ USFWS NWI maps: [Title\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)
- ☒ USGS topographic maps: [Corps National Regulatory Viewer, 4 May 2023.](#)
- ☒ USGS NHD data/maps: [Corps National Regulatory Viewer, 4 May 2023.](#)
- ☐ USGS Dynamic Surface Water Extent: [Title\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)
- ☐ Section 10 navigability resource used: [Title\(s\) and Date\(s\)](#)

Other data sources or models used to aid in this determination:

Data source or model (Select)	Name, date, and other relevant information
<a href="#">USGS Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>
<a href="#">USEPA Sources</a>	<a href="#">N/A</a>



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
2023 RULE**

USDA Sources <sup>9</sup>	N/A
NOAA Sources	N/A
USACE Sources	Corps National Regulatory Viewer
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A
Other Sources	N/A

**D. Additional comments to support AJD:** As documented in the applicant's wetland delineation, the review area appears to have been utilized historically for agricultural purposes, dating back to the 1970's and thus has been highly manipulated. The only features consistently present in the historic aerial imagery are the irrigation canal and Wetland 1. There are no other wetlands or non-wetlands present on the site in the historic aerial imagery dating back to the 1970's.

---

<sup>9</sup> Including Certified Wetland Determination from the NRCS.





**NWP-2022-403**  
**Approved Jurisdictional Determination**  
**Aerial Image, Review Area, and Waters**

Lat: 42.30142933, Long: -122.8724597

Map Created 5 May 2023  
Corps National Regulatory Viewer



**NWP-2022-403**  
**Approved Jurisdictional Determination**  
**Aerial Image, Review Area, and Waters**

Lat: 42.30142933, Long: -122.8724597

Map Created 5 May 2023  
Corps National Regulatory Viewer





**NWP-2022-403**  
**Approved Jurisdictional Determination**  
**Aerial Image, Review Area, and Waters**

Lat: 42.30142933, Long: -122.8724597

Map Created 5 May 2023  
Corps National Regulatory Viewer